```
1
     GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP
     CLEMENT L. GLYNN, Bar No. 57117
 2
     ADAM FRIEDENBERG, Bar No. 205778
     One Walnut Creek Center
     100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500
     Walnut Creek, CA 94596
 4
     Telephone: (925) 210-2800
     Facsimile: (925) 945-1975
     Email: cglynn@glynnfinley.com
            afriedenberg@glynnfinley.com
 6
     Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff
 7
     ConocoPhillips Company
 8
 9
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
     HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC.
                                                Case No. 3:07-cv-5627 SC
12
                       Plaintiff.
                                                CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY'S
                                                MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3
13
          VS.
                                                RE: EXCLUSION OF LAY OPINION
14
     CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Texas
                                                TESTIMONY BY PLAINTIFF'S
     corporation and DOES 1 through 10,
                                                EMPLOYEES
15
     Inclusive
                                                Trial Date:
                                                                  August 18, 2008
16
                       Defendants.
                                                Time:
                                                                  10:00 a.m.
                                                Courtroom:
17
                                                Before:
                                                                  Hon. Samuel Conti
18
19
20
           Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff ConocoPhillips Company ("ConocoPhillips") hereby
    moves in limine for an order precluding plaintiff's current or past employees, including Ed
21
22
     Haddad, from testifying at trial to any opinions or conclusions.
23
     I.
           ARGUMENT
           In support of its motion for preliminary injunction, Plaintiff submitted a declaration from
24
     its president, Ed Haddad, in which Mr. Haddad opined that the value of the structures,
25
    improvements and equipment at issue "does not exceed $120,000." (Docket No. 5 ¶ 22.) He
26
    provided no foundation for this opinion, or even the calculations or evaluative methodology on
27
    which it is based. (Id.) Such speculation is insufficient and inadmissible to contradict, or
28
```

1 establish a valuation alternative to, the appraisal on which ConocoPhillips based its bona fide offer. See Mohammed v. Chevron U.S.A. Inc., 738 F. Supp. 1383, 1385 (M.D. Fla. 1990). Mr. 2 Haddad is not a qualified appraiser, and has not been disclosed as an expert witness in this 3 matter, and the Court should not permit unqualified expert testimony regarding the core issue: 4 i.e., the value of ConocoPhillips' property. 5 II. **CONCLUSION** 6 Mr. Haddad's conclusions and opinions about the value of the station property are based 7 8 on speculation and should therefore be precluded. 9 Dated: August 5, 2008 10 GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP 11 CLEMENT L. GLYNN ADAM FRIEDENBERG 12 One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 13 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 14 15 By Attorneys for Defendant and Counter 16 Plaintiff ConocoPhillips Company 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28